

## Solution for 'Topics in complex analysis'

(01/10/2025)

### H 4.1 (The construction of Mittag-Leffler)

Construct explicitly (i.e. as an explicit series) a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\sqrt{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that at  $\sqrt{n}$  the function  $f$  has the principal part  $q_n(z) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{z - \sqrt{n}}$ .

**Hint:** Prove that a second order Taylor polynomial  $p_n$  of  $q_n$  yields the local normal convergence of the sum  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n - p_n$  (cf. the statement of Theorem 2.2).

#### Solution H 4.1:

We follow the hint and first determine the Taylor series of each principal part. Using properties of the geometric series, for  $|z| < \sqrt{n}$  we can write

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{z - \sqrt{n}} = \frac{-1}{1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^j.$$

According to the hint we choose as polynomials  $p_n$  in the Mittag-Leffler theorem (cf. Theorem 2.2 in the course) the second order Taylor-polynomials  $p_n(z) = -1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{z^2}{n}$ . We have to estimate the difference  $|q_n - p_n|$  on a fixed compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\sqrt{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . A direct computation yields for  $|z| < \sqrt{n}$  the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |q_n(z) - p_n(z)| &\leq \left| \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^j \right| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{j+3} \right| \leq \left| \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^j \\ &= \left| \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^3 \frac{1}{1 - \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the compact set  $K$  is bounded there exists  $n(K)$  such that for all  $n \geq n(K)$  we have  $K \subset B_{\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}}(0)$ . Hence

$$\sum_{n \geq n(K)} \sup_{z \in K} |q_n(z) - p_n(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in K} \frac{|z|^3}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq n(K)} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} < +\infty.$$

In particular, this shows the local normal convergence of  $f := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n - p_n$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\sqrt{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , so that  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\sqrt{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . As seen in the lecture it follows that at each point  $\sqrt{n}$  the principal part of the series is given by  $q_n$ . Finally, we obtain an explicit series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^3 \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^3}{n(\sqrt{n} - z)}.$$

**Remark:** The above function is an example for which the correcting polynomials  $p_n$  are indeed necessary. The sum of principal parts does not converge at any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

### H 4.2 (The partial fraction decomposition of $\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)}$ )

The goal of this exercise is to prove the formula

$$\frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z - n)^2}.$$

This will be achieved in several steps. Keep in mind that  $\sin(z) = \frac{1}{2i}(\exp(iz) - \exp(-iz))$ .

a) Show that the function  $f(z) := \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)}$  has its singularities exactly at the points  $z^* \in \mathbb{Z}$  and determine the principal parts of the Laurent series expansion in those points.

b) Show that the series  $g(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z-n)^2}$  converges locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ , so that it is meromorphic. Conclude that the difference  $g(z) - f(z)$  can be extended to an entire function.

c) Show that the function  $z = x + iy \mapsto f(x + iy)$  vanishes when  $|y| \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly in  $x$ .

d) Show the same statement for the function  $g$ . Then prove that the difference  $g - f$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$  and conclude the proof.

**Hint:** For d) it can be useful to note that  $(g - f)(z + 1) = (g - f)(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Solution H 4.2:**

a) The function  $f$  has singularities exactly at the zeros of  $z \mapsto \sin(\pi z)$ . As a standard fact we note that  $\sin(\pi z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We argue that these are the only zeros. Let  $z = x + iy$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\sin(z) = \frac{1}{2i} (e^{ix} e^{-y} - e^{-ix} e^y),$$

so that  $\sin(z) = 0$  implies that  $e^{2ix} = e^{2y}$ . The left hand side has modulus 1, so that we conclude that  $e^{2y} = 1$ , which yields by injectivity of the real-valued exponential function that  $y = 0$ . On the other hand, the property  $e^{2ix} \in (0, +\infty)$  implies that  $x \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$ .

In order to determine the principal part in a singularity  $z^* \in \mathbb{Z}$  we first treat the case  $z^* = 0$ . Since the function  $z \mapsto \frac{\sin(z)}{z}$  has a removable singularity at  $z^* = 0$ , it follows that  $f$  has a pole of second order at  $z^* = 0$ . Hence the Laurent series takes the form

$$f(z) = a_{-2}z^{-2} + a_{-1}z^{-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Since the corresponding integrals for the coefficients are quite difficult to evaluate, we use this structure to determine the coefficients  $a_{-1}$  and  $a_{-2}$ . Note since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(z)}{z} = 1$  we have

$$a_{-2} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^2 f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\pi z)^2}{\sin^2(\pi z)} = 1.$$

Next, for the coefficient  $a_{-1}$  we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} a_{-1} &= \left. \frac{d}{dz} (z^2 f(z)) \right|_{z=0} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{2\pi^2 z \sin^2(\pi z) - 2\pi(\pi z)^2 \sin(\pi z) \cos(\pi z)}{\sin^4(\pi z)} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{2\pi - 2\pi \cos(\pi z)}{\sin(\pi z)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\cos(z) = 1 - z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^4)$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . Hence the principal part at  $z^* = 0$  reads  $q(z) = \frac{1}{z^2}$ . To treat the other singularities we note that  $f(z + 1) = f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, write  $z = x + iy$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\sin(\pi z + \pi) = \frac{1}{2i} (e^{i\pi(x+1)} e^{-y} - e^{-i\pi(x+1)} e^y) = -\sin(\pi z),$$

so that taking the inverse square yields the claim. By this periodicity property it follows that the coefficients of the Laurent series are also periodic. Hence at a general  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the principal part is given by  $q_n(z) = \frac{1}{(z-n)^2}$ .

b) Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  be a compact set. Then there exists  $c(K) < +\infty$  such that  $\sup_{z \in K} |z| \leq c(K)$ . In particular, for all  $|n| \geq 2c(K)$  and  $z \in K$  we have  $|z - n| \geq |n| - c(K) \geq \frac{1}{2}|n|$ , which implies

that

$$\sum_{|n| \geq 2c(K)} \sup_{z \in K} \left| \frac{1}{(z-n)^2} \right| \leq \sum_{|n| \geq 2c(K)} \frac{4}{n^2} < +\infty.$$

The terms  $\frac{1}{(z-n)^2}$  with  $|n| < 2c(K)$  are bounded in  $K$  (since they are holomorphic there), hence the series  $g$  converges locally normally on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 1.11 it follows that  $g$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Since all singularities are isolated and poles of second order, we deduce that  $g$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Its principal parts agree with the principal parts of  $f$  at all singularities, so it follows from Remark 2.3 that the difference  $g - f$  can be extended to an entire function.

c) We show that the function  $|\sin(x + iy)|$  blows up when  $|y| \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, by the reverse triangle inequality we have

$$|\sin(x + iy)| = \left| \frac{1}{2i} (e^{ix} e^{-y} - e^{-ix} e^y) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} (e^{|y|} - e^{-|y|}).$$

The right hand side goes to  $+\infty$  when  $|y| \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This proves the claim.

d) Fix  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and write  $z = x + iy$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the periodicity  $g(z + 1) = g(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  (which follows by an index shift) it suffices to take  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Using the equivalence of the  $\ell^1$  and Euclidean norms on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (or just carefully computing with bare hands) we deduce that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$|z - n| \geq \frac{1}{c} (|y| + |x - n|) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c} (|y| + |n| - 1) & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{c} (|y| + |n|) & \text{if } n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, performing further index shifts, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z-n)^2} \right| &\leq c^2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(|y| + |n| - 1)^2} + c^2 \sum_{n \leq 0} \frac{1}{(|y| + |n|)^2} \\ &\leq 2c^2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(|y| + |n|)^2} \leq 2c^2 \sum_{m \geq |y| - 1} \frac{1}{m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the last term vanishes when  $|y| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

It remains to show that the difference  $g - f$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We already know that it is holomorphic and periodic in the sense that  $(g - f)(z + 1) = (g - f)(z)$ . Hence on each stripe of the form  $\mathbb{R} \times [-a, a]$  it is bounded. From c) and the first part of d) we further know that there exists some  $a^* > 0$  such that for all  $z = x + iy$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|y| > a^*$  it holds that  $|(g - f)(z)| \leq 1$ . Hence  $g - f$  is a bounded, entire function. By Liouville's theorem it is therefore constant and again by c) and the first part of d) it follows that  $f = g$ .  $\square$

### H 4.3 (The Weierstrass elliptic function)

Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that up to an additive constant, there exists exactly one holomorphic function  $\wp : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

(i)  $\wp$  has principal part  $q(z) = \frac{1}{z^2}$  at  $d = 0$ ;

(ii)  $\wp$  is  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ -periodic, i.e.

$$\wp(z + \omega_1) = \wp(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \wp(z + \omega_2) = \wp(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

You can use without proof that  $\sum_{\substack{m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m, n) \neq (0, 0)}} \frac{1}{(m^2 + n^2)^{3/2}} < +\infty$ .

**Remark:** If we require that the coefficient  $a_0$  of the Laurent series at the origin vanishes, this function

is called the Weierstrass  $\wp$  function. This function  $\wp$  and its derivative can be used to parametrize elliptic curves. The Laurent series coefficients of  $\wp$  are called Eisenstein series, and are the simplest examples of modular forms.

**Solution H 4.3:**

We first show the uniqueness statement. Suppose that there are two functions  $\wp_1, \wp_2 : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the given properties (i) and (ii). Then the difference  $g := \wp_1 - \wp_2$  has a removable singularity at 0, and by periodicity also at each point  $z_{m,n} := m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We conclude that  $g$  can be extended to an entire function. Since the set  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$  forms a basis of  $\mathbb{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , the local boundedness of (the extended version of)  $g$  and the periodicity imply that  $g$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $g$  is constant by Liouville's theorem. This shows the claim of uniqueness (up to an additive constant).

Next we construct the function  $\wp$ . The basic idea is to use the structure given by the Mittag-Leffler theorem, so we consider the countable, closed, discrete set  $S = \{z_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and the corresponding principal parts  $q_{m,n}(z) = \frac{1}{(z - z_{m,n})^2}$ . The difficult part is how to choose the polynomials in order to ensure periodicity. Non-constant polynomials are never periodic, so we try to use constant ones. The 0<sup>th</sup>-order Laurent series term of  $q_{m,n}$  at 0 is given by  $q_{m,n}(0) = \frac{1}{z_{m,n}^2}$  whenever  $(m, n) \neq (0, 0)$ , and by 0 if  $(m, n) = (0, 0)$ . Thus our ansatz is

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(z - z_{m,n})^2} - \frac{1}{z_{m,n}^2}.$$

We show that the series converges locally normally on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a compact set. Note that for all  $z \in K$  we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{(z - z_{m,n})^2} - \frac{1}{z_{m,n}^2} \right| = \frac{|z^2 - 2z_{m,n}z|}{|z_{m,n}|^2 |z - z_{m,n}|^2}. \tag{1}$$

Since  $K$  is bounded, we have that  $C_K := \sup_{z \in K} |z| < +\infty$ . Next note that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $\Omega : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\Omega(1) = \omega_1$  and  $\Omega(i) = \omega_2$  is invertible (as  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Thus there exists a constant  $c = c(\omega_1, \omega_2) > 0$  such that

$$|z_{m,n}| = |\Omega(m + ni)| \geq c|m + ni| = c\sqrt{m^2 + n^2}. \tag{2}$$

Hence there exists  $n(K) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m^2 + n^2 \geq n(K)$  it holds that  $|z_{m,n}| \geq 2C_K$ . Note that for all  $z \in K$  this implies

$$|z - z_{m,n}| \geq |z_{m,n}| - |z| \geq |z_{m,n}| - C_K \geq \frac{|z_{m,n}|}{2}. \tag{3}$$

Inserting this estimate combined with (2) into (1) yields that if  $z \in K$  and  $m^2 + n^2 \geq n(K)$  then there is a constant  $C < +\infty$  such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{(z - z_{m,n})^2} - \frac{1}{z_{m,n}^2} \right| \leq \frac{|z|^2 + 2|z_{m,n}||z|}{|z_{m,n}|^2 \cdot \frac{|z_{m,n}|^2}{4}} \leq \frac{4C_K^2 + 8|z_{m,n}|C_K}{|z_{m,n}|^4} \leq \frac{10C_K}{|z_{m,n}|^3} \leq \frac{C}{(n^2 + m^2)^{3/2}}.$$

In fact  $C := \frac{10C_K}{c^3}$  works above. From the hint (which can be shown for instance via comparison to an integral) we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m^2 + n^2 \geq n(K)}} \frac{1}{(n^2 + m^2)^{3/2}} < +\infty.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n^2+m^2 \geq n(K)}} \sup_{z \in K} \left| \frac{1}{(z - z_{m,n})^2} - \frac{1}{z_{m,n}^2} \right| < +\infty.$$

We conclude the local normal convergence of this series (since for the terms with  $n^2+m^2 < n(K)$  we simply use that they are bounded in  $K$ ), so  $\wp$  is holomorphic. We can then use the familiar argument (manipulating the series freely due to the local normal convergence) to see that  $\wp$  plainly satisfies (i), and the periodicity (ii) follows by an index shift.  $\square$